



# NAPPO

North American Plant Protection Organization  
Organización Norteamericana de Protección a las Plantas

## NAPPO Conference Call Report

Expert Group:	Lymantriids	
Location:	Conference call	
Date:	August 25, 2016	
Chairperson:	Gericke Cook, APHIS	
Participants:		
Alonso Suazo-NAPPO Secretariat	Nedelka Marin-Martinez-NAPPO Secretariat	Dave Holden-CFIA
Katherine Church-CFIA	Daniel Bravo Pérez-SENASICA	Enrique Vega-SENASICA
Daniel Bravo-SENASICA		

### Summary

Project 1:	Develop a NAPPO Science and Technology paper on the risks associated with Lymantriids of potential concern to the NAPPO region, identifying potential species and pathways of concern.
Consensus:	<p>Google Drive Lymantriid group invitation sent to Alonso Suazo.</p> <p>Expert group members reported on their progress and completion of action items since the last call. Gericke has been on emergency response for the last month and has no progress to report for the U.S. Both Canada and Mexico have uploaded several new pest tables to the Google Drive, bringing the total number of reviewed pests to twenty-two.</p> <p>Mexico suggested using Google Translate to do a google search on the common name of a pest in the native language of the country of origin, then translating the results. They found more information using that methodology. Dave suggested contacting a subject matter expert in the country of origin for unpublished data to help fill out the tables. This may a good tip for pests with insufficient data that exhibit potentially high risk (such as high damage scores).</p> <p>Discussion on pests with insufficient data: Gericke will review the pest tables and create a master list of pests, their risk scores and number of zeroes (uncertain/no data entries). The master list can help us to quickly determine which pest tables need review by other team members that may have more data available. Continue filling out pest tables for individual pests and post them to the Google Drive so they can be added to the master list and evaluated by other team</p>

	<p>members.</p> <p>Discussion on climate matching analysis for pests that were not included in Appendix 1. Dave suggested using distribution information from Finland’s Lepidopteran database. Gericke thought there might be a possibility of using a web crawl to automatically extract that information from the website and run it through the climate matching analysis.</p> <p>Once the master list has been disseminated, Dave will create a target pest list and Gericke will divide them up to the team members.</p>
--	---

**Next Steps**

<b>Responsible Person</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Date</b>
Gericke Cook	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Get caught up on backload.</li> <li>• Create master pest list and associated risk and uncertainty scores.</li> <li>• Investigate potential for web crawl of Lepidopteran database.</li> </ul>	Due September xx, but upload to google drive as information becomes available
Dave Holden	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Create new target pest list for evaluation</li> </ul>	
ALL	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continue working on pest lists and uploading to Google Drive.</li> <li>• Please adhere to agreed standard naming convention for the uploaded files.</li> <li>• Evaluate the master pest list and comment on a threshold of zero values for categorizing a table as “insufficient data” for the risk score.</li> </ul>	

**Next Meeting**

Location:	Conference call
Date:	TBD

**Proposed Agenda Items**

1. Report on individual progress.
2. Discuss “insufficient data” scores and potential change to file name convention
3. Evaluate spread of risk scores and whether it appears reasonable. Re-evaluate individual scores if necessary.