

## **NAPPO Conference Call Report**

Expert Group:	ISPM 25 Guidance (Standardization of responsibilities and actions for safeguarding consignments that have transited one NAPPO country to enter another NAPPO country)			
Location:	Conference call			
Date:	May 19, 2020			
Chairperson	TBD			
Participants:				
Wendy Asbil (CFIA)	Christine Villegas (CFIA)	Everett Harper (CBSA)		
Helen Gerson (CBSA)	Shelah Morita (PPQ)	Matt Farmer (PPQ)		
Erin Stiers (PPQ)	Annaliese Blecha (CBP)	Neftali Reyes Carranza (SENASICA)		
Francisca de la Cruz Martínez (SENASICA)	Allan Schepens (CAN-US Industry)	Michael Tamilia (CAN-US)		
John Ercolani (US Industry)	Evan Moss (US Industry)	Patricia Abad (PPQ)		
Greg Yielding (US Industry)	Nedelka Marín-Martínez (NAPPO)	Alonso Suazo (NAPPO)		
Stephanie Bloem (NAPPO)				
Summary				
Project:	Standardization of responsibilities and actions for safeguarding consignments that have transited one NAPPO country to enter another NAPPO country			
General comments:	Expert Group (EG) first call			
Item 1:	Welcome remarks			
Consensus:	The NAPPO Executive Director (ED) welcomed all members of the EG.			
Item 2:	How NAPPO EGs function; virtual call etiquette; EG roles and responsibilities.			

Consensus:	The ED indicated that
	<ul> <li>NAPPO's work is generally done virtually and NAPPO is</li> </ul>
	moving to using Zoom instead of conference calls.
	Calls are conducted in English and Spanish.
	During calls, members are respectfully requested to speak
	in short sentences to allow for accurate interpretation.
	EG roles and responsibilities. A link was provided for
	members to be aware of the EG roles and responsibilities.
	The document provides a description of expectations for
	EG members of NAPPO.
	<ul> <li>It was requested that new EG members provide a short biography and a photograph to be included in the July NAPPO Newsletter.</li> </ul>
	Virtual calls are generally scheduled once a month.
	NAPPO encourages active participation of all EG
	members during a call.
	The EG will elect a Chair and Vice-Chair. Nominations
	and selections will be made during the next call, as EG
	members will introduce themselves during today's call.
	A conference call report is generated at the end of each
	call. The report is uploaded into the NAPPO website for
	members to read and be aware of expectations for the
	next call.  • The EG will be known as "ISPM 25 Guidance".
14 0.	
Item 3:	Brief introductions - EG members, AMC members and NAPPO Secretariat.
Consensus:	·
	Secretariat. Participants introduced themselves, mentioned their organization
Consensus:	Secretariat.  Participants introduced themselves, mentioned their organization and provided a brief description of their work and expertise.  Project objectives and documents supplied to the EG prior to the
Consensus:  Item 4:	Secretariat.  Participants introduced themselves, mentioned their organization and provided a brief description of their work and expertise.  Project objectives and documents supplied to the EG prior to the call.
Consensus:  Item 4:	Secretariat.  Participants introduced themselves, mentioned their organization and provided a brief description of their work and expertise.  Project objectives and documents supplied to the EG prior to the call.  The ED indicated that  The project proposal submitted to NAPPO highlights the need for improved implementation of ISPM 25 in the
Consensus:  Item 4:	Secretariat.  Participants introduced themselves, mentioned their organization and provided a brief description of their work and expertise.  Project objectives and documents supplied to the EG prior to the call.  The ED indicated that  The project proposal submitted to NAPPO highlights the need for improved implementation of ISPM 25 in the NAPPO region.
Consensus:  Item 4:	Secretariat.  Participants introduced themselves, mentioned their organization and provided a brief description of their work and expertise.  Project objectives and documents supplied to the EG prior to the call.  The ED indicated that  The project proposal submitted to NAPPO highlights the need for improved implementation of ISPM 25 in the NAPPO region.  As such, the main objective of this project is to harmonize
Consensus:  Item 4:	Secretariat.  Participants introduced themselves, mentioned their organization and provided a brief description of their work and expertise.  Project objectives and documents supplied to the EG prior to the call.  The ED indicated that  The project proposal submitted to NAPPO highlights the need for improved implementation of ISPM 25 in the NAPPO region.  As such, the main objective of this project is to harmonize implementation of ISPM 25 and more clearly outline the
Consensus:  Item 4:	Secretariat.  Participants introduced themselves, mentioned their organization and provided a brief description of their work and expertise.  Project objectives and documents supplied to the EG prior to the call.  The ED indicated that  The project proposal submitted to NAPPO highlights the need for improved implementation of ISPM 25 in the NAPPO region.  As such, the main objective of this project is to harmonize implementation of ISPM 25 and more clearly outline the responsibilities of all stakeholders involved in the
Consensus:  Item 4:	Secretariat.  Participants introduced themselves, mentioned their organization and provided a brief description of their work and expertise.  Project objectives and documents supplied to the EG prior to the call.  The ED indicated that  The project proposal submitted to NAPPO highlights the need for improved implementation of ISPM 25 in the NAPPO region.  As such, the main objective of this project is to harmonize implementation of ISPM 25 and more clearly outline the responsibilities of all stakeholders involved in the movement and clearance of consignments in transit.
Consensus:  Item 4:	<ul> <li>Secretariat.</li> <li>Participants introduced themselves, mentioned their organization and provided a brief description of their work and expertise.</li> <li>Project objectives and documents supplied to the EG prior to the call.</li> <li>The ED indicated that <ul> <li>The project proposal submitted to NAPPO highlights the need for improved implementation of ISPM 25 in the NAPPO region.</li> <li>As such, the main objective of this project is to harmonize implementation of ISPM 25 and more clearly outline the responsibilities of all stakeholders involved in the movement and clearance of consignments in transit.</li> <li>This phytosanitary infrastructure space includes/involves</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Consensus:  Item 4:	<ul> <li>Secretariat.</li> <li>Participants introduced themselves, mentioned their organization and provided a brief description of their work and expertise.</li> <li>Project objectives and documents supplied to the EG prior to the call.</li> <li>The ED indicated that <ul> <li>The project proposal submitted to NAPPO highlights the need for improved implementation of ISPM 25 in the NAPPO region.</li> <li>As such, the main objective of this project is to harmonize implementation of ISPM 25 and more clearly outline the responsibilities of all stakeholders involved in the movement and clearance of consignments in transit.</li> <li>This phytosanitary infrastructure space includes/involves a long list of stakeholders in North America.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Consensus:  Item 4:	<ul> <li>Secretariat.</li> <li>Participants introduced themselves, mentioned their organization and provided a brief description of their work and expertise.</li> <li>Project objectives and documents supplied to the EG prior to the call.</li> <li>The ED indicated that <ul> <li>The project proposal submitted to NAPPO highlights the need for improved implementation of ISPM 25 in the NAPPO region.</li> <li>As such, the main objective of this project is to harmonize implementation of ISPM 25 and more clearly outline the responsibilities of all stakeholders involved in the movement and clearance of consignments in transit.</li> <li>This phytosanitary infrastructure space includes/involves</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Consensus:  Item 4:	<ul> <li>Secretariat.</li> <li>Participants introduced themselves, mentioned their organization and provided a brief description of their work and expertise.</li> <li>Project objectives and documents supplied to the EG prior to the call.</li> <li>The ED indicated that <ul> <li>The project proposal submitted to NAPPO highlights the need for improved implementation of ISPM 25 in the NAPPO region.</li> <li>As such, the main objective of this project is to harmonize implementation of ISPM 25 and more clearly outline the responsibilities of all stakeholders involved in the movement and clearance of consignments in transit.</li> <li>This phytosanitary infrastructure space includes/involves a long list of stakeholders in North America.</li> <li>The EG will work on making responsibilities in the intransit infrastructure network clearer and more understandable to all parties involved to ensure that when</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Consensus:  Item 4:	Participants introduced themselves, mentioned their organization and provided a brief description of their work and expertise.  Project objectives and documents supplied to the EG prior to the call.  The ED indicated that  The project proposal submitted to NAPPO highlights the need for improved implementation of ISPM 25 in the NAPPO region.  As such, the main objective of this project is to harmonize implementation of ISPM 25 and more clearly outline the responsibilities of all stakeholders involved in the movement and clearance of consignments in transit.  This phytosanitary infrastructure space includes/involves a long list of stakeholders in North America.  The EG will work on making responsibilities in the intransit infrastructure network clearer and more understandable to all parties involved to ensure that when an in-transit non-compliance is detected all stakeholders
Consensus:  Item 4:	Participants introduced themselves, mentioned their organization and provided a brief description of their work and expertise.  Project objectives and documents supplied to the EG prior to the call.  The ED indicated that  The project proposal submitted to NAPPO highlights the need for improved implementation of ISPM 25 in the NAPPO region.  As such, the main objective of this project is to harmonize implementation of ISPM 25 and more clearly outline the responsibilities of all stakeholders involved in the movement and clearance of consignments in transit.  This phytosanitary infrastructure space includes/involves a long list of stakeholders in North America.  The EG will work on making responsibilities in the intransit infrastructure network clearer and more understandable to all parties involved to ensure that when an in-transit non-compliance is detected all stakeholders know what to do.
Consensus:  Item 4:	Participants introduced themselves, mentioned their organization and provided a brief description of their work and expertise.  Project objectives and documents supplied to the EG prior to the call.  The ED indicated that  The project proposal submitted to NAPPO highlights the need for improved implementation of ISPM 25 in the NAPPO region.  As such, the main objective of this project is to harmonize implementation of ISPM 25 and more clearly outline the responsibilities of all stakeholders involved in the movement and clearance of consignments in transit.  This phytosanitary infrastructure space includes/involves a long list of stakeholders in North America.  The EG will work on making responsibilities in the intransit infrastructure network clearer and more understandable to all parties involved to ensure that when an in-transit non-compliance is detected all stakeholders know what to do.  The EG might consider developing a flow chart to identify
Consensus:  Item 4:	Participants introduced themselves, mentioned their organization and provided a brief description of their work and expertise.  Project objectives and documents supplied to the EG prior to the call.  The ED indicated that  The project proposal submitted to NAPPO highlights the need for improved implementation of ISPM 25 in the NAPPO region.  As such, the main objective of this project is to harmonize implementation of ISPM 25 and more clearly outline the responsibilities of all stakeholders involved in the movement and clearance of consignments in transit.  This phytosanitary infrastructure space includes/involves a long list of stakeholders in North America.  The EG will work on making responsibilities in the intransit infrastructure network clearer and more understandable to all parties involved to ensure that when an in-transit non-compliance is detected all stakeholders know what to do.

	were provided to the EG for reference.			
Item 5:	Questions and answers			
Consensus:	No questions were asked	No questions were asked		
Item 6:	Nomination of Chair and Co-Chair/Vice-Chair	Nomination of Chair and Co-Chair/Vice-Chair		
Consensus:	Nominations and selections of Chair and Vice-Ch during next call.	Nominations and selections of Chair and Vice-Chair will be made during next call.		
Item 7:		Plans/topics for next conference call		
Consensus:	<ol> <li>Each country might consider providing a swhat happens with consignments in transicompliance and non-compliance from their perspective and list the issues/problems the summary of ideas on how to modiscussion during next call.</li> <li>If countries have a procedural document a might/could be shared with the EG by sensing NAPPO Secretariat.</li> <li>the EG should begin considering the International to determine the aspects that need harmonization, and improvement in North</li> <li>A combination of options 1 and 2 could be for the next conference call; that is, combinoverview with those aspects that need har alignment beyond the guidance contained international standard and the manual.</li> <li>The Canadian industry expressed their interest in synergies and commonalities (harmonization) in and approaches to identify pest risk in consignment approaches to identify pest risk in consignment below the following approach for the conference call:         <ol> <li>Have EG members read the international standard focus on processes are misaligned should focus on processes in their own composition of the conference call in the transit infrastructure space.</li> <li>Prepare a summary of ideas on how to modiscussion during next call.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	Plans/topics for next conference call  Options proposed:  1. Each country might consider providing a short overview of what happens with consignments in transit that are in compliance and non-compliance from their country's perspective and list the issues/problems that are relevant.  2. If countries have a procedural document available, it might/could be shared with the EG by sending it to the NAPPO Secretariat.  3. the EG should begin considering the International guidance provided by ISPM 25 and the IPPC Transit manual to determine the aspects that need alignment, harmonization, and improvement in North America.  4. A combination of options 1 and 2 could be a way forward for the next conference call; that is, combine the country overview with those aspects that need harmonization alignment beyond the guidance contained in the international standard and the manual.  The Canadian industry expressed their interest in developing synergies and commonalities (harmonization) in methodologies and approaches to identify pest risk in consignments in transit.		
	Emphasize harmonization of transboundar  Next Steps			
Pasnansible Person	Action	Date		
Responsible Person EG members	Have EG members read the international standard (ISPM 25) and the IPPC Transit manual and identify issues were NAPPO country processes are misaligned. EG members should	Before the next call		

	focus on processes in their own country.  2. Ensure that the EG is considering all stakeholders involved in the transit infrastructure space.  3. Prepare a summary of ideas on how to move forward for discussion during next call.  4. Emphasize harmonization of transboundary issues.			
Next Meeting				
Location:	Video conference via Zoom			
Date:	Tuesday, June 17 from 1:00 to 3:00 pm EST			
Proposed Agenda Items				
1. Welcome				
2. Nomination and selection of Chair and Vice-Chair				
3. Country presentations				
4. Questions/answers				
5. Discussion on identified harmonization needs				
6. Next steps				
7. Schedule the next call				