

## NAPPO Conference Call Report

Expert Group:		Forest Quarantine Research Group		
Location:		MS Teams videoconference and face-to-face meeting		
Date:		Dec 5, 2023		
Chairperson:		Chuck Dentelbeck		
Secretary:		Meghan Noseworthy		
Participants:				
Chuck Dentelbeck (Canada industry)		Ronald Mack (USA-APHIS- PPQ) *	Brad Gething (US industry)	
Paul Newman (Canada industry)		Stephanie Bloem (NAPPO)	Tyrone Jones (USA-APHIS-PPQ)	
Eric Allen (Can-Retired) *		Naima Ait Oumejjout (CFIA) *	Meghan Noseworthy (Can-NRCan)	
Mireille Marcotte (CFIA) *		Scott Geffros (Canada industry)	María Eugenia Guerrero (SEMARNAT)	
Dionisio Alvarado (COLPOS) *		'*' participated on-line, all others in- person	Leigh Greenwood (TNC) invited guest	
Dave Kretschmann (US industry)		Adnan Uzunovic (Canada industry)	Armando Equihua (COLPOS)	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Summary		
Project:		Discuss forest quarantine issues, priorities, potential projects		
General comments:		The secretary welcomed the group and commenced the meeting.		
		The agenda was read, and the secretary asked if there were items to add. No items were added.		
Item 1 (by Agenda number	r): 4. Deve	elop project outline, timelines, and deli	verables for the water bath project	
Consensus:		ons below.		
Item 2:		4.1 Review the draft Standard Operating Procedures for the operation of the water bath and incorporate the following elements – Meghan		
Consensus:	the bath, treatmen and prov TPPT. It was hig	It was highlighted that directions on how to build the lid would be developed by building a		
Item 3:		new lid in Victoria, BC and documented the step-by-step process. 4.1.1 Provide cost estimates for elements of the water bath – Meghan/Ron		
Consensus:	The grou	The group reviewed the cost estimates for building the bath and purchasing lab equipment to run experiments in the SOP table in the appendix (approximately \$18,000 CAD)		
Item 4:	4.1.2 I	dentify and set priority on insects/fung	i/nematodes for North America – Eric/Ron	
Consensus:	trade: an pathoger the baths	The group discussed general insect groups to be tested on what moves in wood product trade: ambrosia beetles (fungal pathogens for east and west), buprestids, cerambycids, pathogens. The consensus was to choose representatives from each group as locations for the baths are confirmed and the availability of nearby populations of insects are identified. The same number of insects/fungi/nematodes would be tested by each lab.		
Item 5:	4.1.3 l		rity on insects/fungi from outside of North	
Consensus:	The grou study, inc	The group indicated other countries interested in building a bath to be a part of the ring study, included: Portugal (TJ), Argentina (MN), New Zealand and Europe (EA), maybe Australia, South Africa, and the UK. Follow-up with interested parties.		
Item 6: 4.1.3 I		dentify timelines for starting and completing the studies in North America and rovide timelines to researchers outside of North America		

Consensus:	Given the time it will take to build the bath the timeline for testing insects was set to commence in Jan 2025 to account for the need to identify material to harvest in the fall of 2024 and harvest in the winter of 2025 in the northern hemisphere. Fungal pathogens could be tested at any time			
Item 7:	4.2 Review funding requirements and commitments			
Consensus:	See above items for these details. The group was polled to see who could build a bath. Chuck indicated that Canada could build a second bath with a funding commitment from CLSAB. Tyrone and Dave indicated that a bath could be built and potentially overseen by Ron's lab. Armando and Maria Eugenia indicated that Mexico would have to wait for the funding cycle to identify funding to build a bath.			
Item 8:	<ol> <li>Increase understanding of HT efficacy and use – Discuss potential for updating NAPPO Science and Technology Document No. 5: <i>Review of heat treatment of wood</i> and wood packaging. – Placeholder to stay on Agenda – Eric to help with document review</li> </ol>			
Consensus:	The group agreed to keep this item as a running agenda item until a sub-group is formed to update S&T 05. It was recognized that the preliminary work could start before the project was submitted but that the AMC should be contacted to discuss putting this task on the group workplan.			
Item 9:	<ol> <li>Evaluating the risk associated with different types of wood packaging material – Opportunities to improve ISPM 15 compliance. Set timelines for the project.</li> </ol>			
Consensus:	EG discussed the new NAPPO project, and recognized the addition of Chuck and Dave to the group. Canada Wood noted that they would like to join the EG. The NAPPO Executive noted that this group was slated to meet in December 2023. A date was recommended and agreed upon by members present and would be communicated to the other members to ensure all could attend (Dec 15 <sup>th</sup> , 2023).			
Item 10:	7. Update on MBr alternatives project and a testing protocol			
Consensus:	Adnan described the need for a testing protocol for alternative fur able to obtain from USDA. The EG agreed to keep the project on be at least a year before we are ready to proceed. EG agreed tha MBr alternatives NAPPO EG would be the best plan	the table, however it will		
	Next Steps			
<b>Responsible Person</b>	Action	Date		
Meghan	Continue populating the draft SOP for conducting Humble water bath studies, including rearing post-treatment, and circulate to the EG	Feb 2024		
EG members	Continue to identify locations and researchers to build water baths to test organisms in and outside of NA	Feb 2024		
All to consider	Discussion - Updating Science and Technology Document No.5	Ongoing		
All to consider	MBr alternatives testing protocol (for wood products)	Dec 2024		
	Next Meeting			
Location:	Videoconference			
Date:	ТВА			
	Proposed Agenda Items			
	timelines, and deliverables for the water bath project ard Operating Procedures for the operation of the water bath			
1.2 Identify and set price 1.3 Identify partners/co possible researchers 1.4 Identify timelines fo outside of North America 1.2 Review funding requ	ority on insects/fungi/nematodes untries and set priority on insects/fungi from outside of North Americ r starting and completing the studies in North America and provide ti uirements and commitments	imelines to researchers		
<ul> <li>1.2 Identify and set price</li> <li>1.3 Identify partners/co</li> <li>possible researchers</li> <li>1.4 Identify timelines for</li> <li>outside of North America</li> <li>1.2 Review funding require</li> <li>2. Increase understanding</li> </ul>	prity on insects/fungi/nematodes untries and set priority on insects/fungi from outside of North Americ r starting and completing the studies in North America and provide ti	imelines to researchers O Science and Technology		
<ul> <li>1.2 Identify and set price</li> <li>1.3 Identify partners/co</li> <li>possible researchers</li> <li>1.4 Identify timelines for</li> <li>outside of North America</li> <li>1.2 Review funding require</li> <li>2. Increase understanding</li> <li>Document No. 5: <i>Review</i></li> <li>with document review.</li> </ul>	prity on insects/fungi/nematodes untries and set priority on insects/fungi from outside of North Americ r starting and completing the studies in North America and provide ti <u>uirements and commitments</u> g of HT efficacy and use – Discuss the potential for updating NAPPO	imelines to researchers O Science and Technology		

5. Other business – All

6. Next Meeting

7. Conference call adjourned