



NAPPO

North American Plant Protection Organization
Organización Norteamericana de Protección a las Plantas

NAPPO Conference Call/ Meeting Report

Expert Group	Lymantriids	
Location:	Conference call	
Date:	March 14, 2018	
Chairperson	Dave Holden (CFIA)	
Participants:		
Glenn Fowler (PPQ)	Eduardo Jimenez (SEMARNAT)	Daniel Bravo (SENASICA)
Rajesh Ramarathnam (CFIA)	Nedelka Marin Martinez (NAPPO)	Stephanie Bloem (NAPPO) – present for part of the conference call
Alonso Suazo (NAPPO)		
Summary		
Project:	Develop a NAPPO Science and Technology paper on the risks associated with Lymantriids of potential concern to the NAPPO region, identifying potential species and pathways of concern.	
General comments:	Meeting objective: Provide updates on the risk analyses of Lymantriid species assigned to each country	
Item 1:	Country updates	
Consensus:	<p>Canada:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not much time has been devoted to the project because of emergencies with non-NAPPO related issues (Japanese beetle incursion and others) in Canada. • 5 reports have been completed. • 20 reports need work. • The chairperson indicated that more time will be needed to complete the project. <p>Mexico:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Has completed the work on the 25 species assigned but have not uploaded to the group's Google Drive folder <p>US:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Completed the risk analysis of all 25 species assigned and uploaded the data in the group's Google Drive folder. <p>In response to the request for more time, the NAPPO ED reminded the EG that they have been working on this project for two years and new NAPPO projects cannot be started until</p>	

	<p>existing projects have concluded.</p> <p>The TD asked the AMC member from Canada about the possibility of providing assistance to complete the analysis of species assigned to Canada.</p> <p>EG members from MX offered help to complete the analysis of species assigned to Canada.</p> <p>The Chairperson also indicated that he needed the GIS NAPPO species overlap data to select species for the risk analyses. An EG member from MX indicated the data was in the Group's Google Drive folder. Some species not covered by this file will need analysis.</p>	
Item 2:	<p>Science and Technology document</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Format • Timeline 	
Consensus:	<p>In spite of not having the completed risk analyses of all the Lymantriid species, the group agreed to start working on the S&T document while more analyses are completed. EG members from MX volunteered to start writing the document and agreed to have a draft to evaluate in one month.</p> <p>The ED provided a possible format to use for the S&T document which could include an Executive Summary, introduction, methodology and a result section. She also explained that the document does not necessarily have to be long and that all the data could be part of an Annex to the document.</p>	
Next Steps		
Responsible Person	Action	Date
Rajesh Ramarathnam (AMC-Canada)	Inquire about additional help from Canada to finish the analysis of species assigned to Canada.	In progress
Chair	Continue to work on the risk analyses of the 20 remaining species	ASAP
EG members from MX	Start S&T document write up. Evaluate progress after one month.	April 14
NAPPO Secretariat	Send Doodle poll for the next conference call to be scheduled around April 14, 2018	March 15-30
Next Meeting		
Location:	Conference call	
Date:	TBD	
Proposed Agenda Items		

1. Update on current status of species, and draft report
--

2. Determine and assign tasks for report completion

3. Set next meeting date
