

NAPPO Conference Call/Meeting Report

Expert Group		Lymantriids			
Location:		Conference call			
Date:		April 25, 2016			
Chairperson		Gericke Cook, APHIS			
Participants:					
Clemente García, SENASICA			Eduardo Jiménez, SEMARNAT	Rebecca Lee, NAPPO	
Héctor Enrique Vega, SENASICA		Sara Cabrera, SEMARNAT	David Holden, CFIA		
Daniel Bravo, SENASICA		Gustavo González, SEMARNAT	Katharine Church, CFIA		
			Christina Devorshak, NAPPO		
			Summary		
Consensus:	Develop a NAPPO Science and Technology paper on the risks associated with Lymantriids of potential concern to the NAPPO region, identifying potential species and pathways of concern. Participants confirmed access to shared Google Drive and reported on their progress with completing the species risk assessments. Individual risk questions in the decision process were reviewed: how to interpret the questions, tips/tricks on finding data, and how to answer the questions when data is ambiguous or the source questionable.				
			Next Steps		
Responsible Person		Action		Date	
answe - Work particip to the		te pest tables according to the group feedback so rs are aligned with group consensus. With Dave to expand the initial pest list if pants finish ahead of schedule. Post the updates working document on the Google Drive. The decision process according to group feedback		May 27 April 29	
Dave Holden - Conti		nue work on assigned pest list.		Ongoing	
- Com		pile Canada list of crop/ forest species of mic concern.		Ongoing	
Gustavo González - Conti -Resea tropica		nue work on assigned pest list arch sources to expand Lymantriid spp list for I region. oile Mexico list of crop/ forest species of		Ongoing	

	economic concern.
	Next Meeting
Location:	Conference call
Date:	May 27, 2016 2-4pm ET/1-3pm Mexico/12-2pm MT
	Proposed Agenda Items
•	from the risk workflow and evaluate any needed changes. Did suggested tips

- from this meeting facilitate the research/data entry process?

 2. If pest tables are submitted enough in advance, Gericke will present the spread of the rankings and the EG will discuss whether the risk values per question need adjustment.